Database of extreme waves generated during the passage of a cold front in Rio Grande do Sul coast, southern Brazil.

Dayanis M. Borges[*1], Humberto L. Varona[2], Marcelo F. Alonso[1]

ABSTRACT

This datapaper supports the use of a database generated from wavefield simulations with the WAVEWATCH III model in waters off the coast of Rio Grande do Sul in the South Atlantic Ocean. In the WAVEWATCH III simulations, three domains are generated as a part of a numerical experiment to set up the best configuration. This database includes all input and output files for the two best-fit simulations. Bathymetry and wind files at 10 m above the surface are available as input files. The period of simulation and non-stationary wind data input corresponds to March 22-28, 2016. The date was chosen because it is related to the passage of a cold front through the area of interest. The different parameterizations used and with which good results were obtained in the simulations with the model are also described. The WAVEWATCH III output files contain the spatial and temporal distribution of the wavefield in the area of interest, as well as the outputs for point locations consistent with the location of on-site records. For the two best-fit domains, the following variables were obtained: mean wind speed (m s^{-1}), sea-air temperature difference (°C), wave height (m), mean wavelength (m), mean wave period (s), mean wave direction (degrees), mean directional propagation (degrees) and friction velocity (m s^{-1}). All these variables are provided in NetCDF format and will serve as a reference for future wave modeling work in the region, and the results will be able to be compared with those obtained in the database.

Keywords: WAVEWATCH III model; GFS model; Total wave height; Peak wave period; Wind at 10 m above the surface.

PRIOR PUBLICATIONS

BORGES, D. M. Sistema de previsão de ondas oceânicas no litoral sul do Brasil e águas adjacentes do Oceano Atlântico Sul. 2019. Dissertação de Mestrado. Universidade Federal de Pelotas. Available at: <http://guaiaca.ufpel.edu.br:8080/handle/prefix/4567>.

---

[1] Federal University of Pelotas, Faculty of Meteorology, Pelotas-RS, Brazil. dayanis.borges@inpe.br.
[2] Federal University of Pernambuco, Department of Oceanography, Recife-PE, Brazil.

DATA IMPORTANCE

- It serves as a reference for other wave modeling works, which will be allowed to compare their results with the results obtained in this database;

- It can be used for the analysis of physical processes linked to sea state and atmospheric conditions that occurred on the coast of Rio Grande do Sul during the simulation period, such as coastal flooding analysis. When high wave cores appear, it is possible to identify the main areas vulnerable to their arrival at the coast;

- It is an alternative to the problem of the scarcity of waveform records in the study area;

- In future works, this database can contribute to the creation of a wave monitoring system for the coast of Rio Grande do Sul based on the configurations used with the domains, bathymetries and parameterizations used.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the process of creating the wave dataset three domains of different sizes were defined (Fig. 1), the largest domain (WW3-1) includes the entire southern extent of the South Atlantic Ocean, from the west coast of South America to the coasts of the African continent. The boundaries of the WW3-1 domain are located at 70°W - 25°E / 55°S - 13°S. The second domain (WW3-2) spans from the west coast of South America to the vicinity of the coast of Africa and is located at 70°W - 4°W / 55°E - 13°S. The smallest domain (WW3-3) spans from the east coast of South America to close to the middle of the South Atlantic Ocean and is located at 70°W - 20°W / 55°S - 13°S. In the southwest of the Atlantic Ocean (64°W - 30°W / 45°S - 21°S) is located the region of interest where wave simulations are made in deepwaters.

Figure 1. The Geographical locations of the WW3 domains used, the bathymetry of the region and the study region are located at 64°SW - 30°W / 45°S - 21°S (black line). The WW3-1 domain is the largest and is located at 70°W - 25°E / 55°S - 13°S (solid blue line), the WW3-2 domain located at 70°W - 4°W / 55°E - 13°S (dashed red line) and the WW3-3 domain located at 70°W - 20°W / 55°S - 13°S (dotted pink line). Geographical locations of the model point outputs (Table 3) coinciding with the locations of the Rio Grande buoy (blue dot), the Santos buoy (red dot), the Tramandaí (Carmelite) and Cassino (green dot) ondographs.

The simulation of the wavefield with the third generation WAVEWATCH III model (WW3) provided the wave parameters that are available in this dataset. For this numerical experiment, the

input data were local bathymetry and non-stationary winds. The simulation period varies between March 22-28, 2016. 7 days in total. Three simulation domains (WW3-1, WW3-2 and WW3-3) were configured as a part of an experiment to establish the best simulation configuration for the area (Fig. 1). All simulation domains were defined with a region bigger than the study region to achieve a good representation of geographic fetch and thus a good representation of the propagation of swell arriving in the area. With this numerical experiment, a better representation of the simulated wave parameters is achieved and from it the best domain configurations are selected to have a lower computational cost without affecting the fetch, also avoiding the approximation to the boundary of the simulation domains to the study area, which introduces numerical dispersion errors with the wave model.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study area and WW3 domains considered in the preparation of the dataset in terms of geographic location and number of nodes in the grids.

Region name	Geographical location	Nodes number
Study region	64°W - 30°W / 45°S - 21°S	-
WW3-1	70°W – 25°E / 55°S - 13°S	64389 (381 x 169)
WW3-2	70°W – 4°W / 55°S - 13°S	50193 (297 x 169)
WW3-3	70°W – 20°E / 55°S - 13°S	33969 (201 x 169)

Initial and boundary conditions

The freeware Gridgen v3.0 (NOAA) was used to generate the bathymetric grids. This program consists of a Matlab code set, two global high-resolution bathymetric bases and a high-resolution shoreline database (GSHHS - Global Self - Consistent Hierarchical High-resolution Shoreline; WESSEL; SMITH, 1996). The bathymetric database used in these simulations was ETOPO1 (AMANTE; EAKINS, 2009) with 1 min of arc. As output of the Gridgen, 3 grids were

obtained with the bathymetry information, the obstructions (used to define the contours of small islands that are not well represented due to the resolution of the bathymetry) and the mask (which has the information of the sea and the land). The wind data obtained from the reanalysis of the GFS model has a spatial resolution of 0.25° and is available on the website https://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds084.1, this dataset includes the reanalysis and forecasts every 3 hours in the first 240 hours, then the interval is every 12 hours until the 384 hours of simulation. The GFS model is run four times a day at 00:00, 06:00, 12:00 and 18:00 UTC. The wind input files were created based on the wind components at 10 m above the surface at the 00:00 UTC reanalysis times of the GFS model, running at 00, 06, 12 and 18 UTC. The Climate Data Operator (CDO) freeware created by Schulzweida (2006) was used to process the files in NETCDF format, select the area of the domains and extract the wind speed components at 10 m above the surface. All simulations were started 3 days before the dates of interest due to the spin-up and assumed a calm sea state.

WW3 parameterizations

The Tolman and Chalikov (1994) parameterization, later improved by Tolman and Chalikov(1996), defines the energy input source term (CHALIKOV; BELEVICH, 1993; CHALIKOV, 1995) and a new energy dissipation source term (TOLMAN; CHALIKOV, 1996). The parameterization considers the dissipation of high and low-frequency waves separately and potentially simplifies the effects of whitecapping within the wave energy balance (TOLMAN; CHALIKOV, 1994). The dissipation represents the idealized behavior of wave growth by limiting fetch, resulting in excellent growth in the case of short-range fetches. With the parameterization, smoother results of the simulated wavefield were obtained, and it is less sensitive to numerical errors (TOLMAN; CHALIKOV, 1996). Tolman

(2002a) found that swell dissipation due to opposing or weak winds was severely overestimated and defined a filtered input source term to correct the problem. In a general way, swell formation, propagation and amortization are unraveled in detail (WW3DG, 2016).

Hasselmann et al. (1985) developed the most widely used parameterization to represent nonlinear interactions between waves (Discrete Interaction Approximation - DIA), the scheme calculates the nonlinear energy transfer between four-wave components (Quadruplets) in a gravitational wave spectrum. The scheme of Battjes and Janssen (1978) shows that the dissipation of wave breaking is reduced with depth. The objective parameterization extends the use of the model to shallow water environments where wave breaking becomes important. The JONSWAP spectrum of Hasselmann et al. (1973), is a simple parameterization representing wave energy loss due to friction with the bottom (WW3DG, 2016).

The wave propagation scheme used in the simulations was that of Tolman (2002b), which smoothes the wind diffusion effect, since it is known that with strong winds there is excessive deformation in the direction of wave propagation. The limited fetch JONSWAP spectrum (HASSELMANN et al., 1973) is the most suitable to represent the spectral approximation of waves in areas with lack of measurements, since the parameterization does not need data to be used. The scheme calculates the local wave spectrum using the local wind direction and velocity, taking the dimension of the simulation domain as fetch. These parameterizations used were also used by Silva (2013) in Brazil.

File formats and data access

The dataset is composed by ascii, inp, nc, ctl and grads files extensions. The ascii and inp extensions are the simplest file formats in the database, and their content can be accessed from any text reader software. Another format used to available of the dataset is Grid Analysis and Display System (GrADS) format, which requires a GrADS descriptor file (clt file extension) to be read the data. These files can be accessed, manipulated and viewed with free GrADS software.

The Network Common Data Form (NetCDF) format is a set of software libraries and machine-independent data formats that support the creation, access and sharing of array-oriented scientific data. This format type does not need a data descriptor file as GrADS, it has all the information contained within your files (nc file extension). Among the main software used for NetCDF data manipulation are NetCDF visual browser (ncview), CDO, GrADS, Python and Matlab. GrADS and NetCDF formats are widely used by the earth science community so as meteorologists and oceanographers for their practicality in working with a large dataset. These formats types are characterized by multidimensional storage since they store data (variables) in 2D arrays (latitude x longitude),3D arrays (latitude x longitude x time), and 4D arrays (latitude x longitude x time x altitude).

DATA DESCRIPTION

A dataset contains files to simulate the extreme wave field during the passage of a cold front in southern Brazil with WW3 model. It also contains the output files of the simulation with WW3 model. The input files for the WW3 model are bathymetry and wind gridded data. The output type from the WW3 model were: 1) the spatial and temporal distribution of the wave field, and 2) point outputs from four locations coincident with the location of in situ records. It was also made available input files (ww3-2.inp and ww3-3.inp files) that show the parameterizations used in the two domain definitions of the WW3 model. All the files available in the dataset and their data type are shown in Table 2. Each of these files was generated for the WW3-2 and WW3-3 domains. Only the simulations for the WW3-2 and WW3-3 domains were available in the database

since the WW3-1 domain had no differences in relation to the WW3-2 domain (BORGES, 2019).

Table 2. Files available in online dataset and data type contained.

Files	Type
Bathymetry2.ascii, Bathymetry3.ascii	Bathymetry input
gfs-2.nc, gfs-3.nc	Wind input
ww3-2.inp, ww3-3.inp	Grid input
ww3-2.nc, ww3-3.nc	Spatial output
ww3-2.grads, ww3-3.grads	Spatial output
ww3-2.ctl, ww3-3.clt	Spatial output
ww3-2_tabs.tar.gz, ww3-3_tabs.tar.gz	Point output

Dataset

As input to the model, Bathymetry2.ascii and Bathymetry3.ascii files were generated with bathymetry data for the WW3-2 and WW3-3 domains, respectively, where water depth is in meters. Both files have a spatial resolution of approximately 27 km to match the wind resolution of the GFS model (0.25°). The wind data were entered as initial and boundary conditions in the WW3 model. The variables obtained from the GFS model were the zonal and meridional components of the wind at a height of 10 m above the surface in m s^{-1} (Table 3). The wind input files are gfs-2.nc and gfs-3.nc for the WW3-2 and WW3-3 domains, respectively.

Table 3. Variables in wind files gfs-2.nc and gfs-3.nc and units of measurement.

Description	Parameter name	Units
U-Component of Wind	UGRD_10maboveground	m s^{-1}
V-Component of Wind	VGRD_10maboveground	m s^{-1}

Two types of outputs were generated from the WW3 model simulations for each domain: the spatial and temporal distribution of the wave field, and point outputs from four locations coincident with the location of in situ records. In NetCDF format are model outputs. The point locations belong to the geographical locations of the Rio Grande and Santos buoys, and the Tramandaí and Cassino ondographs (Table 4).

Table 4. Geographical location and types of stations used for validation of the simulations.

Station name	Longitude	Latitude	Type station
Rio Grande	49.86°W	31.53°S	Buoy
Santos	44.92°W	25.273°S	Buoy
Cassino	51.90°W	32.34°S	Ondograph
Tramandaí	50.12W	30.01°S	Ondograph

The files generated with the spatial and temporal distribution of the wavefield were ww3-2.nc and ww3-3.nc for the WW3-2 and WW3-3 domains respectively. They contain the variables of the zonal and meridional components of the mean wind speed in m s^{-1}, the temperature difference between sea and air in degrees Celsius, wave height in m, mean wavelength in m, mean wave period in s, mean wave direction in degrees, mean directional propagation in degrees, and friction velocity in m s^{-1} (Table 5). Spatial and temporal wave field distribution outputs were

also made available in GrADS format as an alternative model output format. The ww3-2.ctl and ww3-3.ctl files are the descriptors of the ww3-2.grads and ww3-3.grads data files for the WW3-2 and WW3-3 domains, respectively. The output variables for the GrADS files are described in Table 5.

Table 5. Variables simulated by WAVEWATCH III model (files ww3-2.nc and ww3-3.nc)and units of measurement.

Description	Parameter name	Units
Eastward wind	uwnd	m s^{-1}
Northward wind	vwnd	m s^{-1}
Air sea temperature difference	ast	K
Significant height of wind and swell waves	hs	m
Mean wave length	lm	m
Mean period	t01	s
Direction media de waves	dir	degree
Wave mean direction	spr	degree
Eastward friction velocity	uust	m s^{-1}
Northward friction velocity	vust	m s^{-1}
Wave to ocean energy flux	foc	W m^{-2}

In each domain ww3-2 and ww3-3 output tables were generated for four points, these output points coincide with the locations of the two buoys and the two ondographs (Table 4). Those outputs are contained in the compacted files ww3-2_tabs.tar.gz and ww3-3_tabs.tar.gz for the ww3-2 and ww3-3 domains, respectively. The compacted file ww3-2_tabs.tar.gz contains the outputs ww3-2BoyaRG_201603_tab.nc, ww3-2BoyaSanto_201603_tab.nc, ww3-2Cassino_201603_tab.nc and ww3-2Tramandaí_201603_tab.nc. On the other hand, the compacted file ww3-3_tabs.tar.gz contains the outputs ww3-3BoyaRG_201603_tab.nc, ww3-3BoyaSanto_201603_tab.nc, ww3-3Cassino_201603_tab.nc and ww3-3Tramandaí_201603_tab.nc. All these files contain the variables spectral estimate of significant wave height in m, mean wavelength in m, mean wave direction from spectral moments at spectral peak in degree, directional spread at spectral peak in degree, peak frequency in s^{-1}, mean wave direction in degree and directional spread from spectral moments in degree (Table 6).

Table 6. Variables simulated by the WAVEWATCH III model at the points used for the validation of the simulations (files ww3-2BoyaRG_201603_tab.nc, ww3-2BoyaSanto_201603_tab.nc, ww3-2Cassino_20160603_tab.nc, ww3-2Tramandaí_20160603_tab.nc, ww3-3BoyaRG_20160603_tab.nc, ww3-3BoyaSanto_201603_tab.nc, ww3-3Cassino_20160603_tab.nc and ww3-3Tramandaí_20160603_tab.nc)and units of measurement**.**

Description	Parameter name	Units
Spectral estimate of significant wave height	hs	m
Mean wave length	Lm	m
Mean wave direction from spectral moments at spectral peak	Th1p	degree
Directional spread at spectral peak	Sth1p	degree
Peak frequency	fp	s^{-1}
Mean wave direction	th1m	degree
Directional spread from spectral moments	sth1m	degree

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

AMANTE, C.; EAKINS, B. W. ETOPO1 1 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model: Procedures, Data Sources and Analysis, National Geophysical Data Center, NESDIS, NOAA, US Dept. Commerce, Boulder, CO, USA, 2008. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7289/V5C8276M.

BATTJES, J. A.; JANSSEN, J. M. Energy loss and set-up due to breaking of random waves. In: Conference on Coastal Engineering, 16, 1978, Hamburg. Coastal Engineering 1978. Reston: American Society of Civil Engineers, 569-587, 1978. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1061/9780872621909.034.

BORGES, D. M. Sistema de previsão de ondas oceânicas no litoral sul do Brasil e águas adjacentes do Oceano Atlântico Sul, 94p. (Dissertação de Mestrado em Meteorologia) Faculdade de Meteorologia, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas. 2019. Available at <http://guaiaca.ufpel.edu.br:8080/handle/prefix/4567>. Accessed in 2021-06-13.

CHALIKOV, D. The parameterization of the wave boundary layer. Journal of physical oceanography, 25 (6), 1333-1349, 1995. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1995)025<1333:TPOTWB>2.0.CO;2

CHALIKOV, D.; BELEVICH, M. Y. One-dimensional theory of the wave boundary layer. Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 63 (1), 65-96, 1993. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00705377

HASSELMANN, K. et al. Measurements of wind wave growth and swell decay during the Joint North Sea Wave Project (JONSWAP). Ergaenzungsheft zur Deutschen Hydrographischen Zeitschrift, Reihe A, 8 (12), 95, 1973. Available at <https://pure.mpg.de/rest/items/item_3262854/component/file_3282032/content>. Accessed in 2021-09-02.

HASSELMANN, S. et al. Computations and Parameterizations of the Nonlinear Energy Transfer in a Gravity-Wave Spectrum. Part II: Parameterizations of the Nonlinear Energy Transfer for Application in wave Models. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 15 (11), 1378-1391, 1985. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1985)015<1378:CAPOTN>2.0.CO;2.

SILVA, P. Caracterização do padrão de ondas na costa do Brasil por medio de modelagem numérica, 195p. (Dissertação de Mestrado em Meteorologia) Curso de Pós Graduação em Meteorologia, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, São José dos Campos. 2013. Available at<http://mtc-m16d.sid.inpe.br/col/sid.inpe.br/mtc-m19/2013/03.12.16.55/doc/publicacao.pdf>. Accessed in 2021-11-22.

SCHULZWEIDA, U. CDO user's guide. Hamburg: Climate data operators version 1.0.1. Max-Planck-Institute for Meteorology. 2006. Available at: <https://src.fedoraproject.org/lookaside/pkgs/cdo/cdo.pdf/90a93037089dddf6f8919b9d6c30bff7/cdo.pdf >. Accessed in 2021-09-30.

THE WAVEWATCH III DEVELOPMENT GROUP (WW3DG). User manual and system documentation of WAVEWATCH III version 5.16. Maryland: NOAA / NWS / NCEP / MMAB. Technical Note n. 329, 326p + Appendices. 2016. Available at <https://polar.ncep.noaa.gov/waves/wavewatch/manual.v5.16.pdf>. Accessed in 2021-06-17.

TOLMAN, H. L. Validation of WAVEWATCH III version 1.15 for a global domain. Washington DC: NOAA/NWS/NCEP/OMB. Technical Note n. 213. 2002a. Available at <https://polar.ncep.noaa.gov/mmab/papers/tn213/OMB_213.pdf>. Accessed in 2021-10-08.

TOLMAN, H. L. Alleviating the garden sprinkler effect in wind wave models. Ocean Modelling, 4 (3-4), 269-289, 2002b. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1463-5003(02)00004-5.

TOLMAN, H. L.; CHALIKOV, D. V. Development of a third-generation ocean wave model at NOAA/NMC. In: Proceedings of the International Symposium: Waves-Physical and Numerical Modelling, 1994, Canada. World Meteorological Organizations-Publications-WMO TD. Vancouver: M. Isaacson and M. C. Quick, 725-732. 1994. Available at <https://polar.ncep.noaa.gov/mmab/papers/tn88/OPC88.pdf>. Accessed in 2021-07-25.

TOLMAN, H. L.; CHALIKOV, D. V. Source terms in a third-generation wind-wave model. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 26 (11),2497-2518, 1996. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1996)026<2497:STIATG>2.0.CO;2.

WESSEL, P.; SMITH, W. A global, self-consistent, hierarchical, high-resolution shoreline database. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 101 (B4), 8741-8743, 1996. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1029/96JB00104.